

doi: 10.1515/umcsmath-2015-0018

---

ANNALES  
UNIVERSITATIS MARIAE CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA  
LUBLIN – POLONIA

VOL. LXIX, NO. 2, 2015

SECTIO A

9–15

ABDELLATIF CHAHBI, BRAHIM FADLI and SAMIR KABBAJ

## Solution of a functional equation on compact groups using Fourier analysis

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a compact group, let  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  be a fixed element and let  $\sigma$  be a continuous automorphism on  $G$  such that  $\sigma^n = I$ . Using the non-abelian Fourier transform, we determine the non-zero continuous solutions  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of the functional equation

$$f(xy) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f(\sigma^k(y)x) = nf(x)f(y), \quad x, y \in G,$$

in terms of unitary characters of  $G$ .

**1. Introduction.** Let  $G$  be a group, let  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  be a fixed element and let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism on  $G$  such that  $\sigma^n = I$ , where  $I$  denotes the identity map. We consider the functional equation

$$(1.1) \quad f(xy) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f(\sigma^k(y)x) = nf(x)f(y), \quad x, y \in G,$$

where  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the function to determine. This equation, in the case where  $G$  is abelian, has been studied by many authors (see, e.g., Shin'ya [14, Corollary 3.12] and Stetkær [18, Theorem 14.9]). Eq. (1.1) is a generalization of the following variant of d'Alembert's functional equation

$$(1.2) \quad f(xy) + f(\sigma(y)x) = 2f(x)f(y), \quad x, y \in G,$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39B52, 22C05, 43A30, 22E45.

*Key words and phrases.* Functional equation, non-abelian Fourier transform, representation of a compact group.

which was introduced and solved on semigroups by Stetkær in [20]. Some information, applications and numerous references concerning (1.2), d'Alembert's functional equation

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x)f(y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

and their further generalizations can be found e.g. in ([1]–[12]; [15]–[22]).

The purpose of the present paper is to solve the functional equation (1.1) in the case where  $G$  is a compact group and possibly non-abelian. Our approach uses the harmonic analysis and the representation theory on compact groups. We note that the idea of using Fourier analysis for solving (1.1) goes back to [5].

Throughout the rest of this paper,  $G$  is a compact group with identity element  $e$  and  $\sigma$  is a continuous automorphism on  $G$  such that  $\sigma^n = I$ . By solutions (resp. representations), we always mean continuous solutions (resp. continuous representations). We mention that also (group) characters are assumed continuous.

**2. Preliminaries.** In this section, we set up some notation and conventions and briefly review some fundamental facts in Fourier analysis which will be used later.

Let  $dx$  denote the normalized Haar measure on  $G$ . Let  $\hat{G}$  stand for the set of equivalence classes of continuous irreducible unitary representations of  $G$ . It is known that for  $[\pi] \in \hat{G}$ ,  $\pi$  is finite dimensional. We denote its dimension by  $d_\pi$ . Consider  $\mathcal{E}_\pi = \text{span}\{\pi_{ij} : i, j = 1, \dots, d_\pi\}$  the linear span of a matrix-valued representative of  $[\pi]$ . For  $f \in L^2(G)$ , the Fourier transform of  $f$  is defined by

$$\hat{f}(\pi) = d_\pi \int_G f(x) \pi(x)^{-1} dx \in M_{d_\pi}(\mathbb{C}),$$

for all  $[\pi] \in \hat{G}$ , where  $M_{d_\pi}(\mathbb{C})$  is the space of all  $d_\pi \times d_\pi$  complex matrices.

As usual, left and right regular representations of  $G$  on  $L^2(G)$  are defined by

$$(L_y f)(x) = f(y^{-1}x), \quad (R_y f)(x) = f(xy),$$

respectively, for all  $f \in L^2(G)$  and  $x, y \in G$ . A crucial property of the Fourier transform is that it converts the regular representations of  $G$  into matrix multiplications.

The following identities will be useful later:

$$\widehat{L_y f}(\pi) = \hat{f}(\pi) \pi(y)^{-1}, \quad \widehat{R_y f}(\pi) = \pi(y) \hat{f}(\pi),$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ , and  $\pi \in \hat{G}$ .

For more information about the topics of this section, refer to [13, Chapter 5].

**3. Main result.** In this section, we solve the functional equation (1.1) by expressing its solutions in terms of unitary characters of  $G$ . The following lemmas derive some properties of the solutions of (1.1).

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a non-zero solution of the functional equation (1.1). Then*

- (a)  $f(e) = 1$ .
- (b)  $f \circ \sigma = f$ .

**Proof.** (a) Setting  $y = e$  in (1.1) gives us  $nf(x)(f(e) - 1) = 0$  for all  $x \in G$ . Since  $f \neq 0$ , then  $f(e) = 1$ .

(b) Taking  $x = e$  in (1.1), we get that

$$f(y) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f(\sigma^k(y)) = nf(y), \quad y \in G.$$

Interchanging  $y$  and  $\sigma(y)$  in the last equation, we obtain after a small computation that

$$f(y) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f(\sigma^k(y)) = nf(\sigma(y)), \quad y \in G.$$

So  $f(\sigma(y)) = f(y)$  for all  $y \in G$ , i.e.,  $f \circ \sigma = f$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a non-zero solution of (1.1). There exists  $[\pi] \in \hat{G}$  such that  $\hat{f}(\pi)$  is invertible.*

**Proof.** Since  $f \circ \sigma = f$  and  $\sigma^n = I$ , we can reformulate (1.1) to

$$nf(x)f = L_{x^{-1}}f + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} R_{\sigma^{n-k}(x)}f = L_{x^{-1}}f + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} R_{\sigma^l(x)}f, \quad x \in G.$$

Taking the Fourier transform to the last equation and using the identities given in Section 2, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \hat{f}(\pi)\pi(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \pi(\sigma^k(x))\hat{f}(\pi) = nf(x)\hat{f}(\pi), \quad x \in G.$$

Since  $f \neq 0$ , there exists  $[\pi] \in \hat{G}$  with  $\hat{f}(\pi) \neq 0$ . Now, let  $v$  be a vector in  $\ker \hat{f}(\pi)$ . From (3.1), we infer that  $\hat{f}(\pi)\pi(x)v = 0$  for all  $x \in G$ . So  $\pi(x)\ker \hat{f}(\pi) \subset \ker \hat{f}(\pi)$  for all  $x \in G$ . Since  $\pi$  is irreducible and  $\hat{f}(\pi) \neq 0$ , we have  $\ker \hat{f}(\pi) = \{0\}$ . This implies that  $\hat{f}(\pi)$  is bijective, thus invertible as a matrix.  $\square$

With the use of the previous lemmas, we now describe the complete solution of (1.1) on an arbitrary compact group. It is clear that  $f \equiv 0$  is a solution of (1.1), so in the following theorem we are only concerned with the non-zero solutions.

**Theorem 3.3.** *The non-zero solutions  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of (1.1) are the functions of the form*

$$f = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \chi \circ \sigma^k,$$

where  $\chi$  is a unitary character of  $G$ .

**Proof.** Using Lemma 3.2 and equality (3.1), we see that there exists  $[\pi] \in \hat{G}$  such that

$$(3.2) \quad \pi(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \hat{f}(\pi)^{-1} \pi(\sigma^k(x)) \hat{f}(\pi) = n f(x) I_{d_\pi}, \quad x \in G.$$

Taking the trace on both sides of (3.2), we obtain

$$\text{tr}(\pi(x)) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \text{tr}(\pi(\sigma^k(x))) = n d_\pi f(x), \quad x \in G,$$

which abbreviates to

$$(3.3) \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{n d_\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \text{tr}(\pi(\sigma^k(x))), \quad x \in G.$$

Each term on the right hand side of (3.3) is a central function, because trace is a central function. Hence  $f$  is central, which implies that  $\hat{f}(\pi)$  is an intertwining operator for  $\pi$ . But  $\pi$  is irreducible, so  $\hat{f}(\pi) = \mu I_{d_\pi}$  for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  by Schur's lemma. Actually  $\mu \neq 0$ , because  $\hat{f}(\pi) \neq 0$ . Now Eq. (3.2) coalesces into

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \pi(\sigma^k(x)) = n f(x) I_{d_\pi}, \quad x \in G.$$

Let  $(\mathcal{H}; \langle, \rangle)$  denote the complex Hilbert space on which the representation  $\pi$  acts, and consider the set

$$S = \{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \mid \pi \simeq \pi \circ \sigma^k\}.$$

We will consider two cases,  $S$  is empty or not.

In the first case, from (3.4) we get that

$$\pi_{ij}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\pi(\sigma^k(x)))_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d_\pi, \quad x \in G.$$

Since  $S = \emptyset$ , we have  $\mathcal{E}_\pi \perp \mathcal{E}_{\pi \circ \sigma^k}$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Hence  $\pi_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , so  $\pi$  is a diagonal matrix. Since  $\pi$  is irreducible we have  $d_\pi = 1$ .

In the second case, i.e.  $S \neq \emptyset$ , we have

$$(3.5) \quad S = \{s_0, 2s_0, \dots, Ns_0\} \quad \text{and} \quad n = (N+1)s_0,$$

where  $s_0 = \min S$  and  $N = \text{card } S$ . Indeed, let  $k \in S$ , there exists  $(q, r) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k = qs_0 + r$  and  $0 \leq r < s_0$ . From  $\pi \simeq \pi \circ \sigma^{s_0}$  we arrive at  $\pi \circ \sigma^r \simeq \pi \circ \sigma^{qs_0+r}$ , so  $\pi \circ \sigma^r \simeq \pi \circ \sigma^k$ . This implies that  $\pi \simeq \pi \circ \sigma^r$ . Since  $0 \leq r < s_0$  and  $s_0 = \min S$ , we have  $r = 0$ . Then  $S$  is contained in the set of integer multiples of  $s_0$ . An additional simple inductive argument is needed to show that  $S$  has the form  $S = \{s_0, 2s_0, \dots, Ns_0\}$ . Furthermore,  $\pi \simeq \pi \circ \sigma^{s_0}$  is equivalent to  $\pi \simeq \pi \circ \sigma^{n-s_0}$ . From  $\pi \simeq \pi \circ \sigma^{n-s_0}$  we infer that  $n - s_0 \in S$ . Since  $n - s_0 + s_0 = n \notin S$ , we see that  $n - s_0$  is the biggest element in  $S = \{s_0, 2s_0, \dots, Ns_0\}$ , i.e.,  $n - s_0 = Ns_0$  and hence  $n = (N + 1)s_0$ . This finishes the proof of (3.5).

Since  $\pi \simeq \pi \circ \sigma^{s_0}$ , there exists a unitary operator  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\pi \circ \sigma^{s_0}(x) = T^* \pi(x) T, \quad x \in G,$$

which by a simple induction gives us the more general formula

$$\pi \circ \sigma^{ks_0}(x) = (T^k)^* \pi(x) T^k, \quad x \in G, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since  $T$  is a unitary matrix, by the spectral theorem for normal operators applied to  $T$ , we infer that  $T$  is diagonalizable. Then  $\mathcal{H}$  has an orthonormal basis  $(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{d_\pi})$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $T$ . We write  $Te_i = \lambda_i e_i$  where  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d_\pi$ . Actually  $|\lambda_i| = 1$ , because  $T$  is unitary. For any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d_\pi$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi \circ \sigma^{ks_0}(x))_{ii} &= \left\langle \pi \circ \sigma^{ks_0}(x) e_i, e_i \right\rangle = \left\langle (T^k)^* \pi(x) T^k e_i, e_i \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \pi(x) T^k e_i, T^k e_i \right\rangle = \left\langle \lambda_i^k \pi(x) e_i, \lambda_i^k e_i \right\rangle \\ &= \lambda_i^k \overline{\lambda_i^k} \langle \pi(x) e_i, e_i \rangle = |\lambda_i|^{2k} \pi_{ii}(x) = \pi_{ii}(x), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in G$  and  $k \in S$ . From (3.4), we infer that

$$(3.6) \quad \pi_{ii}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^N \pi_{ii}(\sigma^{ks_0}(x)) + \sum_{k \in \overline{S}} \pi_{ii}(\sigma^k(x)) = nf(x),$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, d_\pi$  and  $x \in G$ , where  $\overline{S}$  denotes the complement of  $S$  in  $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Using (3.6) and the fact that  $(\pi \circ \sigma^{ks_0})_{ii} = \pi_{ii}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , we obtain

$$(N+1)\pi_{ii}(x) + \sum_{k \in \overline{S}} \pi_{ii}(\sigma^k(x)) = nf(x),$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, d_\pi$  and  $x \in G$ . Then  $d_\pi = 1$ . Indeed, if  $d_\pi > 1$ , then for all  $i = 2, \dots, d_\pi$  we have

$$(N+1)\pi_{ii} + \sum_{k \in \overline{S}} \pi_{ii} \circ \sigma^k = (N+1)\pi_{11} + \sum_{k \in \overline{S}} \pi_{11} \circ \sigma^k,$$

so

$$(3.7) \quad (N+1)(\pi_{ii} - \pi_{11}) = \sum_{k \in \overline{S}} (\pi_{11} - \pi_{ii}) \circ \sigma^k.$$

Since  $\pi$  is not equivalent to  $\pi \circ \sigma^k$  for all  $k \in \overline{S}$ , we have  $\mathcal{E}_\pi \perp \mathcal{E}_{\pi \circ \sigma^k}$  for all  $k \in \overline{S}$ . Then (3.7) implies that  $\pi_{ii} = \pi_{11}$  for all  $i = 2, \dots, d_\pi$ . But if you use Schur's orthogonality relations which say  $\frac{1}{d_\pi} \pi_{ij}$  is an orthonormal basis, we get a contradiction. Then  $d_\pi = 1$ .

Finally, in view of these cases we deduce that  $d_\pi = 1$ . From  $d_\pi = 1$  we see that  $\pi$  is a unitary character, say  $\pi = \chi$ , and we deduce from (3.4) that

$$f = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \chi \circ \sigma^k.$$

Conversely, a simple computation proves that the formula above for  $f$  defines a solution of (1.1).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *The non-zero solutions  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of the functional equation (1.2) are the functions of the form*

$$f = \frac{\chi + \chi \circ \sigma}{2},$$

where  $\chi$  is a unitary character of  $G$ .

**Acknowledgement.** We wish to express our thanks to the referees for useful comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Akkouchi, M., Bouikhalene, B., Elqorachi, E., *Functional equations and K-spherical functions*, Georgian Math. J. **15** (2008), 1–20.
- [2] An, J., Yang, D., *Nonabelian harmonic analysis and functional equations on compact groups*, J. Lie Theory **21** (2011), 427–455.
- [3] Badora, R., *On a joint generalization of Cauchy's and d'Alembert's functional equations*, Aequationes Math. **43** (1992), 72–89.
- [4] Chahbi, A., Fadli, B., Kabbaj, S., *Functional equations of Cauchy's and d'Alembert's type on compact groups*, Proyecciones (Antofagasta) **34** (2015), 297–305.
- [5] Chojnacki, W., *On some functional equation generalizing Cauchy's and d'Alembert's functional equations*, Colloq. Math. **55** (1988), 169–178.
- [6] Chojnacki, W., *On group decompositions of bounded cosine sequences*, Studia Math. **181** (2007), 61–85.
- [7] Chojnacki, W., *On uniformly bounded spherical functions in Hilbert space*, Aequationes Math. **81** (2011), 135–154.
- [8] Fadli, B., Zeglami, D., Kabbaj, S., *A variant of Wilson's functional equation*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, to appear.
- [9] Davison, T. M. K., *D'Alembert's functional equation on topological groups*, Aequationes Math. **76** (2008), 33–53.
- [10] Davison, T. M. K., *D'Alembert's functional equation on topological monoids*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **75** (2009), 41–66.

- [11] de Place Friis, P., *D'Alembert's and Wilson's equation on Lie groups*, Aequationes Math. **67** (2004), 12–25.
- [12] Elqorachi, E., Akkouchi, M., Bakali, A., Bouikhalene, B., *Badora's equation on non-Abelian locally compact groups*, Georgian Math. J. **11** (2004), 449–466.
- [13] Folland, G., *A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.
- [14] Shin'ya, H., *Spherical matrix functions and Banach representability for locally compact motion groups*, Japan. J. Math. (N.S.), **28** (2002), 163–201.
- [15] Stetkær, H., *D'Alembert's functional equations on metabelian groups*, Aequationes Math. **59** (2000), 306–320.
- [16] Stetkær, H., *D'Alembert's and Wilson's functional equations on step 2 nilpotent groups*, Aequationes Math. **67** (2004), 241–262.
- [17] Stetkær, H., *Properties of d'Alembert functions*, Aequationes Math. **77** (2009), 281–301.
- [18] Stetkær, H., *Functional Equations on Groups*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2013.
- [19] Stetkær, H., *D'Alembert's functional equation on groups*, Banach Center Publ. **99** (2013), 173–191.
- [20] Stetkær, H., *A variant of d'Alembert's functional equation*, Aequationes Math. **89** (2015), 657–662.
- [21] Yang, D., *Factorization of cosine functions on compact connected groups*, Math. Z. **254** (2006), 655–674.
- [22] Yang, D., *Functional equations and Fourier analysis*, Canad. Math. Bull. **56** (2013), 218–224.

A. Chahbi  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Sciences  
 IBN TOFAIL University  
 BP: 14000. KENITRA  
 Morocco  
 e-mail: [abdellatifchahbi@gmail.com](mailto:abdellatifchahbi@gmail.com)

B. Fadli  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Sciences  
 IBN TOFAIL University  
 BP: 14000. KENITRA  
 Morocco  
 e-mail: [himfadli@gmail.com](mailto:himfadli@gmail.com)

S. Kabbaj  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Sciences  
 IBN TOFAIL University  
 BP: 14000. KENITRA  
 Morocco  
 e-mail: [samkabbaj@yahoo.fr](mailto:samkabbaj@yahoo.fr)

Received August 20, 2015